QUESTIONS FOR ANSWERS ON THE DAY

(but not taken up).

Names of places recommended to Central Government for establishing Sugar Factories in the State during 1962-63.

*Q.—411. Sri E. NARAYANA GOWDA (Bangarpet).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) the names of places that they have recommended to Central Government for establishing Sugar Factories in the State during the year 1962-63 ;

(*b*) the reply received from the Central Government in this behalf ;

(*c*) whether it is a fact that the Central Government have not given their consent to establish additional Sugar Factories in South India ;

(*d*) if so, the reasons given by them therefor ?

A.—Sri K. MALLAPPA (Minister for Industries and Commerce).—

(*a*) 1 Bidar
2 Hiriyur
3 M. K. Hubli
4 Kamalapur
5 Bangarpet.

(*b*) The question of granting an Industrial Licence for establishing sugar factories at Bidar, Hiriyur and M. K. Hubli is under consideration of the Government of India. In regard to the rest, the Government of India did not agree to the grant of licences, as there are nearby factories which are capable of crushing the cane available in the locality.

(*c*) Information is not available.

(*d*) Does not arise in view of reply to clause (*c*).

— ——

Temporary Clerks working in the Revenue Department in the four Districts of Bombay Karnatak.

*Q.—523. Sri M. V. SHETTI (Chikodi).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) the number of temporary clerks working in the Revenue Department in the four Districts of Bombay Karnatak ;

(*b*) the number and period from which they are working on temporary basis ;

(*c*) whether they heve not qualified themselves to be made permanent ?

A.—Sri M. V. KRISHNAPPA (Minister for Revenue).—

(*a*) 649.

(*b*) The number and period from which they are working on a temporary basis are as under :—

Year		No.
1943	...	1
1944	...	1
1945—50	...	33
1951—56	...	176
1957—59	...	206
1960—62	...	232
		649

(*c*) Out of 649 officials only 132 officials have qualified themselves and are eligible for confirmation.

Revision of Pay Scales of the Market Superintendents of Gulbarga Division.

**Q.*—539. Sri KANTHA RAO DESAI (Kushtagi).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) why the pay scale of Market Superintendents in Gulbarga Division were not revised in 1957 when the pay scales of Government servants were revised ;

(*b*) whether there were any proposals in 1957, with regard to the revision of pay scales of the Market Superintendents of Gulbarga Division ;

(*c*) whether it is a fact that Government have revised the pay scales of Market Secretaries and Superintendents in the month of October 1961, but these scales were not given to Market Superintendents in Gulbarga Division, if so, the reasons therefor ?

A.—Sri RAMAKRISHNA HEGDE (Minister for Co-operation and Development).—

(*a*) Because their pay scales were higher than those of the Secretaries of other Regulated Market Committees in the State.

(*b*) No.

(*c*) Yes. Government have revised the pay scales of Market Secretaries and Superintendents on the basis of income of the Market Committees on 3rd October 1961 and the same was given effect to in respect of the Key Market Superintendents. The question of giving effect to the revised scales in respect of other categories of Market Superintendents, *viz.*, the Senior Market Superintendents, Junior Market Superintendents and Assistant Market Superintendents is being examined, as this revision does not help all the persons but only one category of Market Superintendents.